

From Infotaxis to Boids-like Swarm Behaviour

Christoph Salge¹ and Daniel Polani¹

¹University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, UK, AL10 9AB
c.salge@herts.ac.uk
d.polani@herts.ac.uk

Abstract

The three rules of alignment, separation and cohesion, introduced by Reynolds (1987) to recreate flocking behaviour have become a well known standard to create swarm behaviour. We aim to demonstrate that those three rules can emerge from the principle of information maximisation. We begin with a single agent looking for a specific location (i.e. a food source), its actions are governed by a modified version of the Infotaxis behaviour introduced by Vergassola et al. (2007). Every action is selected to maximise the expected gain in information in the coming step. In Salge and Polani (2009) we demonstrated that this leads, without an explicit intent to communicate, to a “concentration” of “Relevant Information”(Polani et al. (2001, 2006)) in the agents’ actions. In a multi-agent scenario it therefore becomes interesting, from an information theoretic (Shannon (1948)) perspective, to look at another agent’s actions. Using a Bayesian update to integrate the information of other agents’ actions, that one incidentally meets, into an agent’s probability mapping of the world, we could measure that this leads to both, an increase in agent performance, and to an increase in the information gain per time.

From these premises it appears reasonable, not only to integrate said information, but to include the expected information gain from another agent into determining our actions. Since Infotaxis determines actions by maximising expected information gain, this extension is quite straightforward. Looking now at a multi-agent, grid-world scenario where all agents act with this new policy we can observe the emergence of some coordinated behaviour via local decision making of the agents. A closer analysis shows not only a further increase in performance, but also an increase in local agent density around the agent and an alignment of the overall direction the agents move in. Also, even though the agents are interested in being close to other agents to gain information from them, there is also some force that still separates them, since we rarely observe all agents congregating on one single spot and staying there.

Those measurements suggest that we are observing a behaviour that could - in spirit - also be created by the well-known three rules of “Boids” behaviour introduced by Reynolds (1987). The *cohesion* that makes agents move towards the average position of the local flock mates is recreated by the agent’s motivation to have as many agents as possible in its sensor range, so it can profit from the information in their actions. The *separation* on the other hand, the aversion of the agents to get too close to others, is motivated by

the lack of new environmental information around observed agents. Even though an agent’s action is rich in information, it mostly provides information of its immediate surroundings. So, while some agent at the end of an agent A’s sensor range would provide it with further information, an agent that is close to A can mostly display information that A has already acquired. Finally, *alignment* can be explained by realising that if an agent moves in a given direction, the goal is more likely to be there, and all else being equal, another agent should have a tendency to move in that direction as well.

References

- Polani, D., Martinetz, T., and Kim, J. T. (2001). An information-theoretic approach for the quantification of relevance. In *ECAL '01: Proceedings of the 6th European Conference on Advances in Artificial Life*, pages 704–713, London, UK. Springer-Verlag.
- Polani, D., Nehaniv, C. L., Martinetz, T., and Kim, J. T. (2006). Relevant information in optimized persistence vs. progeny strategies. In *Artificial Life X: Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on the Simulation and Synthesis of Living Systems*, pages 337–343. The MIT Press (Bradford Books).
- Reynolds, C. W. (1987). Flocks, herds and schools: A distributed behavioral model. *SIGGRAPH Comput. Graph.*, 21(4):25–34.
- Salge, C. and Polani, D. (2009). Information theoretic incentives for social interaction. Technical Report 495, University of Hertfordshire. presented at ECAL Workshop on Organisation, Cooperation and Emergence in Social Learning Agents.
- Shannon, C. E. (1948). A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27:379–423.
- Vergassola, M., Villermaux, E., and Shraiman, B. I. (2007). ‘infotaxis’ as a strategy for searching without gradients. *Nature*, 445(7126):406–409.